


CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

NR



(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

NOTE FOR: [redacted] (b)(6)

FROM: [redacted]

OFFICE: CTC

DATE: 02/01/2002 01:02:12 PM


SUBJECT: POW's and Questioning

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)



In short, if a detainee were granted POW status, and therefore is covered by the Geneva convention, there are few alternatives to simply asking questions.



(b)(5)

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~


(b)(5)

then the optic becomes how
legally defensible is a particular act that probably violates the convention,
but ultimately saves lives.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~



(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

CC:

Sent on 1 February 2002 at 01:02:12 PM

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~