# **Summary Final Judgment of Foreclosure**

- 1. Filing of the Motion at any time after the expiration of 20 days from the commencement of the action or after service of a motion for summary judgment by the adverse party. Rule 1.510(a), Fla. R. Civ. P. The motion for summary judgment, supporting affidavits and notice of hearing must be served on a defendant at least 20 days before the summary judgment hearing. Rule 1.510(c), Fla. R. Civ. P.
- (a) Other discovery materials and evidence used in support of or in opposition to a motion for summary judgment are subject to the same notice requirements. *Dept. of Revenue v. B & L Concepts, Inc.,* 612 So. 2d 720, 722 (Fla. 5th DCA 1993), (Court declined to consider depositions in opposition to summary judgment that were untimely filed in the day of the hearing.); *San Hueza v. Nat'l. Found. Life Ins. Co.,* 545 So. 2d 321 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989), (nonmoving party's answers to interrogatories filed at time of summary judgment hearing could be considered when "they were served within the time required by Fla. Rule of Procedure 1.510(c) and received at least one day before hearing.)
- (b) Filing of cross motions is subject to the 20-day notice period. *Wizikowsji v. Hillsborough County,* 651 So. 2d 1223 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995).
- 2. Requirement for motion for summary judgment due notice and a hearing. Proof of mailing of notice of the final summary judgment hearing created presumption that notice of hearing was received. *Blanco v. Kinas,* 936 So. 2d 31, 32 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006).

# 3. Affidavits in support of Summary Judgment

- (a) <u>Affidavit of Indebtedness</u> Must be signed by a custodian of business record with knowledge. In general, the plaintiff's affidavit itemizes:
  - (1) property address,
  - (2) principal balance,
  - (3) interest (calculated from default up until the entry of judgment, when the mortgage provides for automatic acceleration upon

default, *THFN Realty Co. v. Kirkman/Conroy, Ltd.,* 546 So. 2d 1158 (Fla. 5th DCA 1989).

- (4) late charges (pre-acceleration only), Fowler v. First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n., 643 So. 2d 30, 33(Fla. 1st DCA 1994).),
- (5) property inspections & appraisals,
- (6) hazard insurance premiums and taxes.
- (b) Affidavit of Costs This affidavit details:
  - (1) the filing fee,
  - (2) service of process,
  - (3) and abstracting costs.
- (c) Affidavit of attorney's time references the actual time the attorney expended on the foreclosure file and references the actual hourly billable rate or the flat fee rate which the client has agreed to pay. The Fla. Supreme Court endorsed the lodestar method. *Bell v. U. S. B. Acquisition Co.,* 734 So. 2d 403, 406 (Fla. 1999). The hours may be reduced or enhanced in the discretion of the court, depending on the novelty and difficulty of questions involved. *Fla. Patient's Compensation Fund v. Rowe,* 472 So. 2d 1145, 1150 (Fla. 1985). With regard to uncontested time, plaintiff is not required to keep contemporaneous time records since the lender is contractually obligated to pay a flat fee for that time. *Id.* 
  - (1) Affidavit of attorney's fee must be signed by a practicing attorney not affiliated with the plaintiff's firm, attesting to the rate as reasonable and customary in the circuit. Affiant should reference and evaluate the attorney fee claim based on the eight factors set forth in Rule 4-1.5(b)(1) Rules Regulating the Fla. Bar.

### 4. Burden of Proof

The plaintiff bears the burden of proof to establish the nonexistence of disputed issues of material fact. *Delandro v. Am.'s. Mortgage Servicing, Inc.,* 674 So. 2d 184, 186 (Fla. 3d DCA 1996); *Holl v. Talcott,* 191 So. 2d 40, 43 (Fla. 1966).

### **Affirmative Defenses**

- 1. Genuine existence of material fact precludes entry of summary judgment. *Manassas Investments Inc. v. O'Hanrahan,* 817 So. 2d 1080 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002).
- 2. Legal sufficiency of defenses certainty is required when pleading affirmative defenses; conclusions of law unsupported by allegations of ultimate fact are legally insufficient. *Bliss v. Carmona,* 418 So. 2d 1017, 1019 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982) "Affirmative defenses do not simply deny the facts of the opposing party's claim; they raise some new matter which defeats an otherwise apparently valid claim." *Wiggins v. Protmay,* 430 So. 2d 541, 542 (Fla. 1 st DCA 1983).

### 3. Affirmative defenses commonly raised:

- (a) Payment Where defendants alleged advance payments and plaintiff failed to refute this defense, plaintiff not entitled to summary judgment. *Morroni v. Household Fin. Corp. III*, 903 So. 2d 311, 312 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005). However, summary judgment will be defeated if payment was attempted, but due to misunderstanding or excusable neglect coupled with lender's conduct, contributed to the failure to pay. *Campbell v. Werner*, 232 So. 2d 252, 256 (Fla. 3d DCA 1970); *Lieberbaum v. Surfcomber Hotel Corp.*, 122 So. 2d 28, 29 (Fla. 3d DCA 1960), (Court dismissed foreclosure complaint where plaintiffs knew that some excusable oversight was the cause for non-payment, said payment having been refused and subsequently deposited by defendants into the court registry).
- (b) Failure to comply with conditions precedent such as Plaintiff's failure to send the Notice of Default letter.
- (c) Estoppel elements include: a representation as to a material fact that is contrary to a later-asserted position; reliance on that representation; and a change in position detrimental to the party claiming estoppel, caused by the representation and reliance thereon. *Harris v. Nat'l. Recovery Agency*, 819 So. 2d 850, 854 (Fla. 4th DCA 2002); *Jones v. City of Winter Haven*, 870 So. 2d 52, 55 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003), (defendant defeated city's foreclosure based on evidence

presented which indicated that the city had agreed to stop fines for noncompliance with property code if homeowner hired a licensed contractor to make repairs).

- (d) Waiver the knowing and intentional relinquishment of an existing right. *Taylor v. Kenco Chem. & Mfg. Co.,* 465 So. 2d 581, 588 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985). When properly pled, affirmative defenses that sound in waiver (and estoppel) present genuine issues of material fact which are inappropriate for summary judgment. *Schiebe v. Bank of Am.,* 822 So. 2d 575 (Fla. 5th DCA 2002).
  - (1) Acceptance of late payments common defense asserting waiver is the lenders acceptance of late payments. However, the lender has the right to elect to accelerate or not to accelerate after default. *Scarfo v. Peever,* 405 So. 2d 1064, 1065 (Fla. 5th DCA 1981). Default predicated on defendant's failure to pay real estate taxes, could not be overcome by defendant's claim of estoppel due to misapplication of non-escrow payments. *Lunn Woods v. Lowery,* 577 So. 2d 705, 707 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991).
- (e) Fraud in the inducement defined as situation where parties to a contract appear to negotiate freely, but where in fact the ability of one party to negotiate fair terms and make an informed decision is undermined by the other party's fraudulent behavior. *HTP, Ltd. v. Lineas Aereas Costarricenses, S. A.,* 685 So. 2d 1238, 1239 (Fla. 1996).

Affirmative defense of fraud in the inducement based on allegation that vendors failed to disclose extensive termite damage resulted in reversal of foreclosure judgment. *Hinton v. Brooks,* 820 So. 2d 325 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001). (Note that purchasers had first filed fraud in the inducement case and vendor retaliated with foreclosure suit). Further, the appellate court opined in the *Hinton* case that fraud in the inducement was not barred by the economic loss rule. *Id.* 

- (f) Usury defined by § 687.03, Fla. Stat. (2008), as a contract for the payment of interest upon any loan, advance of money, line of credit, or forbearance to enforce the collection of any debt, or upon any obligation whatever, at a higher rate of interest than the equivalent of 18 percent per annum simple interest. If the loan exceeds \$500,000 in amount or value, then the applicable statutory section is § 687.071, Fla. Stat. (2008).
  - (1) A usurious contract is unenforceable according to the provisions of Section 687.071(7), Fla. Stat. (2003).
- (g) Forbearance agreement Appellate court upheld summary judgment based on Defendant's failure to present any evidence as to the alleged forbearance agreement of prior servicer to delay foreclosure until the settlement of his personal injury case. *Walker v. Midland Mortgage Co.,* 935 So. 2d 519, 520 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006).
- (h) Statute of limitations Property owner successfully asserted that foreclosure filed five years after mortgage maturity date was barred by statute of limitations; mortgage lien was no longer valid and enforceable under Section 95.281(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (2002); *American Bankers Life Assurance Co. of Fla. v. 2275 West Corp.*, 905 So. 2d 189, 191 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005).
- (i) Failure to pay documentary stamps Section 201.08, Fla. Stat. (2008) precludes enforcement of notes and mortgages absent the payment of documentary stamps. *WRJ Dev., Inc. v. North Ring Limited,* 979 So. 2d 1046, 1047 (Fla. 3d DCA 2008); *Bonifiglio v. Banker's Trust Co. of Calif.,* 944 So. 2d 1087, 1088 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007).
- (1) This is a limitation on judicial authority; not a genuine affirmative defense.
- (j) Truth in Lending (TILA) violations Technical violations of TILA do not impose liability on lender or defeat foreclosure. *Kasket v. Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp.*, 759 So. 2d 726 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000); 15 U. S. C. A. § 1600. Exception to TILA one year statute of limitations applies to defenses raised in

foreclosure. *Dailey v. Leshin,* 792 So. 2d 527, 532 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001); 15 U. S. C. A. § 1640(e).

(k) Res judicata – Foreclosure and acceleration based on the same default bars a subsequent action unless predicated upon separate, different defaults. *Singleton v. Greymar Assoc.*, 882 So. 2d 1004, 1007 (Fla. 2004).

Additional cases: *Limehouse v. Smith,* 797 So. 2d 15 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001), (mistake); *O'Brien v. Fed. Trust Bank, F. S. B.,* 727 So. 2d 296 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999), (fraud, RICO and duress); *Biondo v. Powers,* 743 So. 2d 161 (Fla. 4th DCA 1999), (usury); *Heimmermann v. First Union Mortgage Corp.,* 305 F. 23d 1257 (11th Circ. 2002), (Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) violations.

# Summary Judgment Hearing

- 1. Plaintiff must file the original note and mortgage at or before the summary judgment hearing. Since the promissory note is negotiable, it must be surrendered in the foreclosure proceeding so that it does not remain in the stream of commerce. *Perry v. Fairbanks Capital Corp.*, 888 So. 2d 725, 726 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001). Copies are sufficient with the exception that the note must be reestablished. *Id.* Best practice is for judge to cancel the signed note upon entry of summary judgment.
- (a) Failure to produce note can preclude entry of summary judgment. *Nat'l. Loan Investors, L. P. v. Joymar Assoc.,* 767 So. 2d 549, 550 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000).

# Final Judgment

- 1. Section 45.031, Fla. Stat. (2008) governs the contents of the final judgment.
- 2. AO 09- \_\_\_\_ incorporates statutory requirement and requires the use of the adopted form for final summary judgment of foreclosure. Supplemental language must be submitted for review by separate order in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit.

- 3. Amounts due Plaintiff's recovery limited to items pled in complaint or affidavit or based on a mortgage provision.
- 4. Court may award costs agreed at inception of contractual relationship; costs must be reasonable. *Nemours Found. v. Gauldin,* 601 So. 2d 574, 576 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992), (assessed costs consistent with mortgage provision rather than prevailing party statute); *Maw v. Abinales,* 463 So. 2d 1245, 1247 (Fla. 2d DCA 1985), (award of costs governed by mortgage provision).

### 5. <u>Checklist</u>

- (a) Final Judgment:
  - (1) Amounts due and costs should match affidavits filed. If interest has increased due to resets a daily interest rate should be indicated so you can verify it.
  - (2) Check principal, rate & calculation of interest through date of judgment.
  - (3) Late fees pre-acceleration is recoverable; post acceleration is not. *Fowler v. First Fed. Sav. & Loan Assoc. of Defuniak Springs,* 643 So. 2d 30, 33 (Fla. 1st DCA 1994).
  - (4) All expenses and costs, such as service of process should be reasonable, market rates. Items related to protection of security interest, such as fencing and boarding up property are recoverable if reasonable.
  - (5) Beware hidden charges & fees for default letters, correspondence related to workout efforts. Court's discretion to deny recovery.
  - (6) Attorney fees must not exceed contract rate with client and be supported by an affidavit as to reasonableness. Attorney fee cannot exceed 3% of principal owed. § 702.065(2), Fla. Stat. (2001).
  - (7) Bankruptcy fees not recoverable Correct forum is bankruptcy court. *Martinez v. Giacobbe,* 951 So. 2d 902, 904 (Fla. 3d DCA

- 2007); *Dvorak v. First Family Bank,* 639 So. 2d 1076, 1077 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994). Bankruptcy costs incurred to obtain stay relief recoverable. *Nemours,* 601 So. 2d at 575.
- (8) Sale date may not be set in less than 20 days or more than 35 days, unless parties agree. § 45.031(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (2008), *JRBL Dev., Inc. v. Maiello*, 872 So. 2d 362, 363 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).
- 6. If summary judgment denied, foreclosure action proceeds to trial on contested issues.
  - (a) Trial is before the court without a jury. § 702.01, Fla. Stat. (2008).

# Right of Redemption

- 1. Mortgagor may exercise his right of redemption at any time prior to the issuance of the certificate of sale. § 45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- (a) Court approval is not needed to redeem. *Indian River Farms v. YBF Partners,* 777 So. 2d 1096, 1100 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001); *Saidi v. Wasko,* 687 So. 2d 10, 13 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996).
- (b) Court of equity may extend time to redeem. *Perez v. Kossow,* 602 So. 2d 1372 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992).
- 2. To redeem, mortgagor must pay the entire mortgage debt, including costs of foreclosure and attorney fees. *CSB Realty, Inc. v. Eurobuilding Corp.,* 625 So. 2d 1275, 1276 (Fla. 3d DCA 1993); §45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- 3. Right to redeem is incident to every mortgage and can be assigned by anyone claiming under him. *VOSR Indus., Inc. v. Martin Properties, Inc.,* 919 So. 2d 554, 556 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006). There is no statutory prohibition against the assignment, including the assignment of bid at sale.
- (a) Right of redemption extends to holders of subordinate interests. Junior mortgage has an absolute right to redeem from senior mortgage. *Marina Funding Group, Inc. v. Peninsula Prop. Holdings, Inc.,* 950 So. 2d 428, 429 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007); *Quinn Plumbing Co. v. New Miami Shores Corp.,* 129 So. 690, 694 (Fla. 1930).

4. Fed. right of redemption – United States has 120 days following the foreclosure sale to redeem the property if its interest is based on an IRS tax lien. For any other interest, the Fed. government has one year to redeem the property. 11 U. S. C. § 541, 28 U. S. C. § 959.

### **Judicial Sale**

### Notice of sale

- 1. Notice of sale must be published once a week, for 2 consecutive weeks in a publication of general circulation. § 45.031(1), Fla. Stat. (2008). The second publication shall be at least five days before the sale. § 45.031(2), Fla. Stat. (2008).
- (a) Notice must include: property description; time and place of sale; case style; clerk's name and a statement that sale will be conducted in accordance with final judgment.
- (b) Defective notice can constitute grounds to set aside sale. *Richardson v. Chase Manhattan Bank,* 941 So. 2d 435, 438 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006); *Ingorvaia v. Horton,* 816 So. 2d 1256 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002).

### Judicial sale procedure

- 1. Judicial sale is public, anyone can bid. *Heilman v. Suburban Coastal Corp.,* 506 So. 2d 1088 (Fla. 4th DCA 1987). Property is sold to the highest bidder.
- 2. Plaintiff is entitled to a credit bid in the amount due under final judgment, plus interest and costs through the date of sale. *Robinson v. Phillips,* 171 So. 2d 197, 198 (Fla. 3d DCA 1965).
- 3. Amount bid is conclusively presumed sufficient consideration. § 45.031(8), Fla. Stat. (2008).

### **Certificate of sale**

1. Upon sale completion - certificate of sale must be served on all parties not defaulted. The right of redemption for all parties is extinguished upon issuance of certificate of sale. §45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2008).

- 2. Documentary stamps must be paid on the sale. §201.02(9), Fla. Stat. (2006). The amount of tax is based on the highest and best bid at the foreclosure sale. *Id.*
- (a) Assignment of successful bid at foreclosure sale is a transfer of an interest in realty subject to the documentary stamp tax. Fla. Admin. Code Rule 12B-4.013(25). (Rule 12B-4.013(3) provides that the tax is also applicable to the certificate of title issued by the clerk of court to the holder of the successful foreclosure bid, resulting in a double stamp tax if the bid is assigned and the assignee receives the certificate of title.)
- (b) Assignment prior to foreclosure sale holder of a mortgage foreclosure judgment that needs to transfer title to a different entity and anticipates that the new entity would be the highest bidder, should assign prior to the foreclosure sale to avoid double tax.
- (c) Documentary stamps are due only if consideration or an exchange of value takes place. *Crescent Miami Center, LLC. v. Fla. Dept. of Revenue,* 903 So. 2d 913, 918 (Fla. 2005), (Transfer of unencumbered realty between a grantor and wholly-owned grantee, absent consideration and a purchaser, not subject to documentary stamp tax); *Dept. of Revenue v. Mesmer,* 345 So. 2d 384, 386 (Fla. 1st DCA 1977), (based on assignment of interest and tender of payment, documentary stamps should have been paid).
- (d) Exempt governmental agencies, which do not pay documentary stamps include: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Fed. Home Administration and the Veteran's Administration. Fla. Admin. Code Rules 12B-4.014(9)-(11); 1961 Op. Atty. Gen. 061-137, Sept. 1, 1961.

### Objection to sale

- 1. Any party may file a verified objection to the amount of bid within 10 days. § 45.031(8), Fla. Stat. (2008). The court may hold a hearing within judicial discretion.
- 2. Court has broad discretion to set aside sale. *Long Beach Mortgage Corp. v. Bebble,* 985 So. 2d 611, 614 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008), (appellate court reversed

sale - unilateral mistake resulted in outrageous windfall to buyer who made *de minimis* bid).

- 3. <u>Test</u>: sale may be set aside if:
- (1) bid was grossly or startlingly inadequate; and (2) inadequacy of bid resulted from some mistake, fraud, or other irregularity of sale. *Blue Star Invs., Inc. v. Johnson,* 801 So. 2d 218 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001); *Mody v. Calif. Fed. Bank,* 747 So. 2d 1016, 1017 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999). Burden on party seeking to vacate sale.
- (a) Plaintiff's delay in providing payoff information cannot be sole basis for setting aside sale. *Action Realty & Invs., Inc. v. Grandison,* 930 So. 2d 674, 676 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006).
- (b) Stranger to foreclosure action does not have standing to complain of defects in the absence of fraud. *REO Properties Corp. v. Binder,* 946 So. 2d 572, 574 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006).
- (c) Sale may be set aside if plaintiff misses sale, based on appropriate showing. *Wells Fargo Fin. System Fla., Inc. v. GRP Fin. Services Corp.,* 890 So. 2d 383 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).

#### Sale vacated

- 1. If sale vacated mortgage and lien "relieved with all effects" from foreclosure and returned to their original status. §702.08, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- (a) Upon readvertisement and resale, a mortgagor's lost redemptive rights temporarily revest. *YEMC Const. & Development, Inc., v. Inter Ser, U. S. A., Inc.,* 884 So. 2d 446, 448 (Fla. 3d DCA 2004).

### **Post Sale Issues**

### **Certificate of title**

1. No objections to sale – Sale is confirmed by the Clerk's issuance of the certificate of title to purchaser. Title passes to the purchaser subject to parties whose interests were not extinguished by foreclosure, such as omitted parties.

- (a) Plaintiff may reforeclose or sue to compel an omitted junior lienholder to redeem within a reasonable time. *Quinn*, 129 So. 2d at 694.
- (b) Foreclosure is void if titleholder omitted. *England v. Bankers Trust Co. of Calif., N. A.,* 895 So. 2d 1120, 1121 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005).

### Right of possession

- 1. Purchaser has a right to possess the property upon the issuance of the certificate of title, provided the interest holder was properly joined in the foreclosure.
- 2. Right of possession enforced through writ of possession. Rule 1.580, Fla. R. Civ. P.

### 3. Summary of writ of possession procedure:

- (a) Purchaser of property moves for writ of possession;
- (b) The writ can be issued against any party who had actual or constructive knowledge of the foreclosure proceedings and adjudication; *Redding v. Stockton, Whatley, Davin & Co.,* 488 So. 2d 548, 549 (Fla. 5th DCA 1986);
- (c) Best practice is to require notice and a hearing before issuance of a writ.
- (d) At hearing, judge orders immediate issuance of writ of possession unless a person in possession raises defenses which warrant the issuance of a writ of possession for a date certain;
- (e) The order for writ of possession is executed by the sheriff and personal property removed to the property line.

#### **Disbursement of Sale Proceeds**

### Surplus

- 1. Surplus the remaining funds after payment of all disbursements required by the final judgment of foreclosure and shown on the certificate of disbursements. § 45.032(1)(c), Fla. Stat. (2007). Disbursement of surplus funds is governed by Section 45.031, Fla. Stat. (2006).
- 2. Entitlement to surplus is determined by priority; in order of time in which they became liens. *Household Fin. Services, Inc. v. Bank of Am., N. A.,* 883 So.

2d 346, 347 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004). It is the duty of the court to prioritize the interests of the competing junior lien holders and the amounts due each. *Citibank v. PNC Mortgage Corp. of America,* 718 So. 2d 300, 301 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998).

- (a) Default does not waive lienholder's rights to surplus funds. *Golindano v. Wells Fargo Bank,* 913 So. 2d 614 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005). A junior lienholder has priority over the property holder for surplus funds. *Id.,* 615.
- (b) A senior lienholder is not entitled to share in surplus funds. *Garcia v. Stewart,* 906 So. 2d 1117, 1121 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005), (senior lienholder liens unaffected; improper party to junior lienholder foreclosure).

### **Deficiency Judgment**

- 1. Deficiency is the difference between the fair market value of the security received and the amount of the debt. *Mandell v. Fortenberry,* 290 So. 2d 3, 6 (Fla. 1974); *Grace v. Hendricks,* 140 So. 790 (Fla. 1932).
- 2. Trial court has discretion to enter deficiency decree. § 702.06, Fla. Stat. (2008); *Thomas v. Premier Capital, Inc.,* 906 So. 2d 1139, 1140 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005).
- (a) Deficiency judgment not allowable if based on constructive service of process.
- 3. A cause of action for deficiency cannot accrue until after entry of final judgment and a sale of the assets to be applied to the satisfaction of the judgment. *Chrestensen v. Eurogest, Inc.,* 906 So. 2d 343, 345 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005).
- 4. Denial of deficiency decree in foreclosure suit for jurisdictional reasons, as distinguished from equitable grounds, is not res judicata so as to bar an action for deficiency. *Frumkes v. Mortgage Guarantee Corp.,* 173 So. 2d 738, 740 (Fla. 3d DCA 1965); *Klondike, Inc. v. Blair,* 211 So. 2d 41, 42 (Fla. 4th DCA 1968).

# **Bankruptcy**

- 1. The automatic stay provisions of 11 U. S. C. §362 enjoins proceedings against the debtor and against property of the bankruptcy estate.
- (a) To apply, the subject real property must be listed in the bankruptcy schedules as part of the estate. 11 U. S. C. § 541.
- 2. Foreclosure cannot proceed until the automatic stay is lifted or terminated. If property ceases to be property of the bankruptcy estate, the stay is terminated.
- (a) The automatic stay in a second case filed within one year of dismissal of a prior Chapter 7, 11 or 13 automatically terminates 30 days after the second filing, unless good faith is demonstrated. 11 U. S. C. § 362(c)(3).
- (b) The third filing within one year of dismissal of the second bankruptcy case, lacks entitlement to the automatic stay and any party in interest may request an order confirming the inapplicability of the automatic stay.
- (c) Multiple bankruptcy filings where the bankruptcy court has determined that the debtor has attempted to delay, hinder or defraud a creditor may result in the imposition of an order for relief from stay in subsequent cases over a two year period. 11 U. S. C. §362(d)(4).
- 3. Debtor's discharge in bankruptcy only protects the subject property to the extent that it is part of the bankruptcy estate.
- 4. Foreclosure cannot proceed until relief from automatic stay is obtained or otherwise terminated, or upon dismissal of the bankruptcy case.

# Florida's Expedited Foreclosure Statute

- 1. Enacted by § 702.10, Fla. Stat. (2001).
- 2. Upon filing of verified complaint, plaintiff moves for immediate review of foreclosure by an order to show cause. (These complaints are easily distinguishable from the usual foreclosure by the order to show cause).
- 3. Not the standard practice among foreclosure practitioners, due to limitations:

- (a) Statute does not foreclose junior liens;
- (b) Procedures differ as to residential and commercial properties; and
- (c) Statute only provides for entry of an *in rem* judgment; deficiency must be sought in separate action.

### Common Procedural Errors

- 1. Incorrect legal description contained in the:
- (a) Original mortgage requires a count to reformation count. An error in the legal description of the deed requires the joinder of the original parties as necessary parties to the reformation proceedings. *Chanrai Inv., Inc., v. Clement,* 566 So. 2d 838, 840 (Fla. 5th DCA 1990).
  - (b) Complaint and lis pendens requires amendment.
- (c) Judgment Rule 1.540 (a), Fla. R. Civ. P. governs. For example, an incorrect judgment amount which omitted the undisputed payment of real estate taxes could be amended. *LPP Mortgage Ltd. v. Bank of America*, 826 So. 2d 462, 463 (Fla. 3d DCA 2002).
- (d) Notice of Sale requires vacating the sale and subsequent resale of property. *Hyte Development Corp. v. General Electric Credit Corp.*, 356 So. 2d 1254 (Fla. 3d DCA 1978).
- (e) Certificate of title a "genuine" scrivener's error in the certificate of title can be amended. However, there is no statutory basis for the court to direct the clerk to amend the certificate of title based on post judgment transfers of title, faulty assignments of bid or errors in vesting title instructions.
  - (1) An error in the certificate of title which originates in the mortgage and is repeated in the deed and notice of sale requires the cancellation of the certificate of title and setting aside of the final judgment. *Lucas v. Barnett Bank of Lee County,* 705 So. 2d 115 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998). (For example, plaintiff's omission of a mobile home and its vehicle identification number (VIN) included in the mortgage legal description, but overlooked throughout the pleadings, judgment and notice of sale, cannot be the

amended in the certificate of title.) Due process issues concerning the mobile home require the vacating of the sale and judgment.

# Hope for Homeowner's Act of 2008

- 1. The Nat'l. Housing Act, also known as the Hope for Homeowner's Act of 2008, became effective on October 1, 2008 and sunsets on September 1, 2011. 12 U. S. C. A. § 1701 (2001). According to a recent NBC News report, only 312 homeowners nationwide have been helped.
- 2. Under the provisions of this new Act, eligible homeowners can refinance their primary residence with a FHA guaranteed 30-year fixed rate mortgage. When the homeowner sells the primary residence or refinances the FHA loan, he must share his profit on a sliding scale with the FHA.
- 3. To qualify: (a) the homeowner must demonstrate the inability to afford their mortgage payment; (b) only the homeowner's primary residence is eligible, not investment property; (c) any existing subordinate liens, such as a home equity loans must be paid off; (d) the homeowner must spend 31% of gross monthly income on housing as of March 1, 2008; and (e) the homeowner must meet the same guidelines as an FHA mortgage, such as credit and income verification.
- 4. Lender participation in the program is entirely <u>voluntary</u>. The lender must be willing to accept a discounted value on its current mortgage.