- (c) The party seeking appointment must show that there is a substantial likelihood that it will prevail on the merits at the conclusion of the case and must present sufficient proof that appointment of a receiver is warranted. *Keybank National Association v. Knuth, Ltd.,* 2009 WL 2448160, 2448161 (Fla. 3d DCA, Aug. 12, 2009).
- (d) A final prerequisite to appointment of a receiver is that the movant must post a bond, for either the plaintiff or the receiver. Rule 1.620(c), Fla. Rules of Civ. P. (2010); Boyd v. Banc One Mortgage Corp., 509 So. 2d 966,967 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987).

<u>Summary Final Judgment of Foreclosure</u>

- Legal standard No genuine issue of material fact and movant is entitled to a
 judgment as a matter of law. Also, outstanding discovery can preclude summary
 judgment.
- 2. Burden of Proof The plaintiff bears the burden of proof to establish the nonexistence of disputed issues of material fact. *Delandro v. Am.'s. Mortgage Servicing, Inc.,* 674 So. 2d 184, 186 (Fla. 3d DCA 1996); *Holl v. Talcott,* 191 So. 2d 40, 43 (Fla. 1966).
- 3. Content of motion for summary judgment plaintiff should allege:
- 1) execution of note and mortgage; 2) plaintiff's status as owner and holder (or representative); 3) date of default; 4) notice of default and acceleration; 5) amount due and owing; 6) relief sought; and 7) address affirmative defenses, if any.
- 4. Filing of the Motion at any time after the expiration of 20 days from the commencement of the action or after service of a motion for summary judgment by the adverse party. Rule 1.510(a), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010). The motion for summary judgment, supporting affidavits and notice of hearing must be served on a defendant at least (20) twenty days before the summary judgment hearing. Rule 1.510(c), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010); Verizzo v. Bank of New York, 2010 WL 711862 (Fla. 2 DCA Mar. 3, 2010); Mack v. Commercial Industrial Park, Inc., 541 So. 2d 800, 801 (Fla. 4th DCA 1989).

- (a) Opposition materials and evidence supportive of a denial of a motion for summary judgment must be identified. Rule 1.510(c), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010). Notice of opposition must be mailed to the movant's attorney at least five days prior to the day of hearing or delivered no later than 5:00 P. M., (2) two business days prior to the day of the hearing on the summary judgment.
- (b) The movant for summary judgment must factually refute or disprove the affirmative defenses raised, or establish that the defenses are insufficient as a matter of law. *Leal v. Deutsche Bank Nat'l. Trust Co.*, 21 So. 3d 907, 908 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009).
- (c) Filing of cross motions is subject to the 20-day notice period. *Wizikowsji v. Hillsborough County*, 651 So. 2d 1223 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995).
- 5. Requirement for motion for summary judgment due notice and a hearing. Proof of mailing of notice of the final summary judgment hearing created presumption that notice of hearing was received. *Blanco v. Kinas,* 936 So. 2d 31, 32 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006).

6. Affidavits in support of Summary Judgment

Affidavits in support of the motion must be made based on personal knowledge and set forth facts that would be admissible in evidence, and demonstrate that the affiant is competent to testify on the matters presented.

- (a) <u>Affidavit of Indebtedness</u> Must be signed by a custodian of business record with knowledge. In general, the plaintiff's affidavit itemizes:
 - (1) property address,
 - (2) principal balance,
 - (3) interest (calculated from default up until the entry of judgment, when the mortgage provides for automatic acceleration upon default, *THFN Realty Co. v. Kirkman/Conroy, Ltd.,* 546 So. 2d 1158 (Fla. 5th DCA 1989). (best practice is to include per diem interest),
 - (4) late charges (pre-acceleration only), Fowler v. First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n., 643 So. 2d 30, 33(Fla. 1st DCA 1994).),
 - (5) prepayment penalties unavailable in foreclosure actions, Fla. Nat'l

Bank v. Bankatlantic, 589 So. 2d 255, 259 (Fla. 1991), unless specifically authorized in note in the event of acceleration and foreclosure. *Feinstein v. Ashplant*, 961 So. 2d 1074 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007).

- (6) property inspections & appraisals,
- (7) hazard insurance premiums and taxes.
- (b) Affidavit of Costs This affidavit details:
 - (1) the filing fee,
 - (2) service of process,
 - (3) and abstracting costs.
- expended on the foreclosure file and references the actual hourly billable rate or the flat fee rate which the client has agreed to pay. The Fla. Supreme Court endorsed the lodestar method. *Bell v. U. S. B. Acquisition Co.,* 734 So. 2d 403, 406 (Fla. 1999). The hours may be reduced or enhanced in the discretion of the court, depending on the novelty and difficulty of questions involved. *Fla. Patient's Compensation Fund v. Rowe,* 472 So. 2d 1145, 1150 (Fla. 1985). With regard to uncontested time, plaintiff is not required to keep contemporaneous time records since the lender is contractually obligated to pay a flat fee for that time. *Id.*
- (d) Affidavit as to reasonableness of attorneys' fee Affidavit of attorney's fee must be signed by a practicing attorney not affiliated with the plaintiff's firm, attesting to the rate as reasonable and customary in the circuit. Affiant should reference and evaluate the attorney fee claim based on the eight factors set forth in Rule 4-1.5(b)(1) Rules Regulating the Fla. Bar. Of these, relevant factors, such as the time and labor required, the customary fee in the locality for legal services of a similar nature, and the experience and skill of the lawyer performing the service must be examined. An award of attorney fees must be supported by expert evidence. *Palmetto Federal Savings and Loan Association v. Day,* 512 So. 2d 332 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987).
 - (1) Where there is a default judgment and the promissory note or mortgage contains a provision for an award of attorney fees,

- Section 702.065(2), Fla. Stat. (2010) provides that "it is not necessary for the court to hold a hearing or adjudge the requested attorney's fees to be reasonable if the fees do not exceed 3 per cent of the principal amount owed at the time of the filing of the complaint." Florida Patient's Compensation Fund v. Rowe, 472 So. 2d 1145 (Fla. 1985). Id. This statutory provision confirms that "such fees constitute liquidated damages in any proceeding to enforce the note or mortgage." Id.
- (2) The judgment must contain findings as to the number of hours and the reasonable hourly rate. *Id.* at 1152. The requirements of *Rowe* are mandatory and failure to make the requisite findings is reversible error. *Home Insurance Co. v. Gonzalez,* 648 So. 2d 291, 292 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995). "An award of attorneys' fees must be supported by competent substantial evidence in the record and contain express findings regarding the number of hours reasonably expended and a reasonable hourly rate for the type of litigation involved." *Stack v. Homeside Lending, Inc.* 976 So. 2d 618, 620 (Fla. 2d DCA 2008).

Affirmative Defenses

- 1. Genuine existence of material fact precludes entry of summary judgment. Manassas Investments Inc. v. O'Hanrahan, 817 So. 2d 1080 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002).
- 2. Legal sufficiency of defenses Certainty is required when pleading affirmative defenses; conclusions of law unsupported by allegations of ultimate fact are legally insufficient. *Bliss v. Carmona*, 418 So. 2d 1017, 1019 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982) "Affirmative defenses do not simply deny the facts of the opposing party's claim; they raise some new matter which defeats an otherwise apparently valid claim." *Wiggins v. Protmay*, 430 So. 2d 541, 542 (Fla. 1 st DCA 1983). Plaintiff must either factually refute affirmative defenses or establish that they are legally insufficient. *Frost v. Regions Bank*, 15 So. 3d 905, 906 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009).

Affirmative defenses commonly raised:

- (a) Payment Where defendants alleged advance payments and plaintiff failed to refute this defense, plaintiff not entitled to summary judgment. *Morroni v. Household Fin. Corp. III*, 903 So. 2d 311, 312 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005). Equally, if the affidavit of indebtedness is inconclusive (for example, includes a credit for unapplied funds without explanation), and the borrower alleges a the defense of inaccurate accounting, then summary judgment should be denied. *Kanu v. Pointe Bank*, 861 So. 2d 498 (Fla. 4th DCA 2003). However, summary judgment will be defeated if payment was attempted, but due to misunderstanding or excusable neglect coupled with lender's conduct, contributed to the failure to pay. *Campbell v. Werner*, 232 So. 2d 252, 256 (Fla. 3d DCA 1970); *Lieberbaum v. Surfcomber Hotel Corp.*, 122 So. 2d 28, 29 (Fla. 3d DCA 1960), (Court dismissed foreclosure complaint where plaintiffs knew that some excusable oversight was the cause for non-payment, said payment having been refused and subsequently deposited by defendants into the court registry).
- (b) Failure to comply with conditions precedent such as Plaintiff's failure to send the Notice of Default letter. Failure to receive payoff information does not preclude summary judgment. *Walker v. Midland Mortgage Co.,* 935 So. 2d 519, 520 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006).
- (c) Estoppel is usually based on: a representation as to a material fact that is contrary to a later-asserted position; reliance on that representation; and a change in position detrimental to the party claiming estoppel, caused by the representation and reliance thereon. *Harris v. Nat'l. Recovery Agency*, 819 So. 2d 850, 854 (Fla. 4th DCA 2002); *Jones v. City of Winter Haven*, 870 So. 2d 52, 55 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003), (defendant defeated city's foreclosure based on evidence presented which indicated that the city had agreed to stop fines for noncompliance with property code if homeowner hired a licensed contractor to make repairs).
- (d) Waiver the knowing and intentional relinquishment of an existing right. *Taylor v. Kenco Chem. & Mfg. Co.*, 465 So. 2d 581, 588 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985). When properly pled, affirmative defenses that sound in waiver (and estoppel) present

genuine issues of material fact which are inappropriate for summary judgment. *Schiebe v. Bank of Am.*, 822 So. 2d 575 (Fla. 5th DCA 2002).

- (1) Acceptance of late payments common defense asserting waiver is the lenders acceptance of late payments. However, the lender has the right to elect to accelerate or not to accelerate after default. *Scarfo v. Peever*, 405 So. 2d 1064, 1065 (Fla. 5th DCA 1981). Default predicated on defendant's failure to pay real estate taxes, could not be overcome by defendant's claim of estoppel due to misapplication of non-escrow payments. *Lunn Woods v. Lowery*, 577 So. 2d 705, 707 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991).
- (e) Fraud in the inducement defined as situation where parties to a contract appear to negotiate freely, but where in fact the ability of one party to negotiate fair terms and make an informed decision is undermined by the other party's fraudulent behavior. *HTP, Ltd. v. Lineas Aereas Costarricenses, S. A.,* 685 So. 2d 1238, 1239 (Fla. 1996).

Affirmative defense of fraud in the inducement based on allegation that seller failed to disclose extensive termite damage resulted in reversal of foreclosure judgment. *Hinton v. Brooks,* 820 So. 2d 325 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001). (Note that purchasers had first filed fraud in the inducement case and seller retaliated with foreclosure suit). Further, the appellate court opined in the *Hinton* case that fraud in the inducement was not barred by the economic loss rule. *Id.*

- (f) Usury defined by § 687.03, Fla. Stat. (2010), as a contract for the payment of interest upon any loan, advance of money, line of credit, or forbearance to enforce the collection of any debt, or upon any obligation whatever, at a higher rate of interest than the equivalent of 18 percent per annum simple interest. If the loan exceeds \$500,000 in amount or value, then the applicable statutory section is § 687.071, Fla. Stat. (2010). A usurious contract is unenforceable according to the provisions of Section 687.071(7), Fla. Stat. (2010).
- (g) Forbearance agreement Appellate court upheld summary judgment based on Defendant's failure to present any evidence as to the alleged forbearance

agreement of prior servicer to delay foreclosure until the settlement of his personal injury case. *Walker v. Midland Mortgage Co.*, 935 So. 2d at 520. If evidence of forbearance is submitted, it may defeat summary judgment.

- (h) Statute of limitations Property owner successfully asserted that foreclosure filed five years after mortgage maturity date was barred by statute of limitations; mortgage lien was no longer valid and enforceable under Section 95.281(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (2010); American Bankers Life Assurance Co. of Fla. v. 2275 West Corp., 905 So. 2d 189, 191 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005).
- (i) Failure to pay documentary stamps Section 201.08, Fla. Stat. (2010) precludes enforcement of notes and mortgages absent the payment of documentary stamps. *WRJ Dev., Inc. v. North Ring Limited,* 979 So. 2d 1046, 1047 (Fla. 3d DCA 2008); *Bonifiglio v. Banker's Trust Co. of Calif.,* 944 So. 2d 1087, 1088 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007).
 - (1) This is a limitation on judicial authority; not a genuine affirmative defense.
- (j) Truth in Lending (TILA) violations Technical violations of TILA do not impose liability on lender or defeat foreclosure. *Kasket v. Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp.*, 759 So. 2d 726 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000); 15 U. S. C. A. § 1600. Exception to TILA one year statute of limitations applies to defenses raised in foreclosure. *Dailey v. Leshin*, 792 So. 2d 527, 532 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001); 15 U. S. C. A. § 1640(e).

TILA issues include:

- (1) Improper adjustments to interest rates (ARMS);
- (2) Borrower must be given 2 copies of notice of rescission rights. Written acknowledgement of receipt is only a rebuttable presumption. *Cintron v. Bankers Trust Co.*, 682 So. 2d 616 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996).
- (3) TILA rescission for up to 3 years after the transaction for failure to make material disclosures to borrower. Such as, APR of loan, amount financed, total payment and payment schedule. Rescission relieves borrower only for payment of interest. Must be within three years of closing. 15 U. S. C. § 1601-166 (1994); Beach v. Great Western Bank, 692 So. 2d 146, 153 (Fla. 1997).
 - (a) Wife's homestead interest in mortgaged property gives her right to

TILA disclosure. *Gancedo v. DelCarpio,* 17 So. 3d 843, 844 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009).

(k) Res judicata – Foreclosure and acceleration based on the same default bars a subsequent action unless predicated upon separate, different defaults. *Singleton v. Greymar Assoc.*, 882 So. 2d 1004, 1007 (Fla. 2004).

Additional cases: Limehouse v. Smith, 797 So. 2d 15 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001), (mistake); O'Brien v. Fed. Trust Bank, F. S. B., 727 So. 2d 296 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999), (fraud, RICO and duress); Biondo v. Powers, 743 So. 2d 161 (Fla. 4th DCA 1999), (usury); Heimmermann v. First Union Mortgage Corp., 305 F. 23d 1257 (11th Circ. 2002), (Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) violations.

Summary Judgment Hearing

- 1. Plaintiff must file the original note and mortgage at or before the summary judgment hearing. Since the promissory note is negotiable, it must be surrendered in the foreclosure proceeding so that it does not remain in the stream of commerce. Perry v. Fairbanks Capital Corp., 888 So. 2d 725, 726 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001). Copies are sufficient with the exception that the note must be reestablished. *Id.* Best practice is for judge to cancel the signed note upon entry of summary judgment.
- (a) Failure to produce note can preclude entry of summary judgment. *Nat'l. Loan Investors, L. P. v. Joymar Assoc.,* 767 So. 2d 549, 550 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000).

Final Judgment

- 1. Section 45.031, Fla. Stat. (2010) governs the contents of the final judgment. Final Judgment Form 1.996, Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010).
- 2. Amounts due Plaintiff's recovery limited to items pled in complaint or affidavit or based on a mortgage provision.
- 3. Court may award costs agreed at inception of contractual relationship; costs must be reasonable. *Nemours Found. v. Gauldin,* 601 So. 2d 574, 576 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992), (assessed costs consistent with mortgage provision rather than prevailing party statute); *Maw v. Abinales,* 463 So. 2d 1245, 1247 (Fla. 2d DCA 1985), (award of costs governed by mortgage provision).

Checklist for Final Summary Judgment

- (a) Final Judgment:
- (1) Check service, defaults, dropped parties.
- (2) Check for evidence of ownership of note.
- (3) Check affidavits signed and correct case number/parties.
- (4) Amounts due and costs should match affidavits filed. If interest has increased due to resets a daily interest rate should be indicated so you can verify it.
- (5) Check principal, rate & calculation of interest through date of judgment.
- (6) Late fees pre-acceleration is recoverable; post acceleration is not. *Fowler v. First Fed. Sav. & Loan Assoc. of Defuniak Springs*, 643 So. 2d 30, 33 (Fla. 1st DCA 1994).
- (7) All expenses and costs, such as service of process should be reasonable, market rates. Items related to protection of security interest, such as fencing and boarding up property are recoverable if reasonable.
- (8) Beware hidden charges & fees for default letters, correspondence related to workout efforts. Court's discretion to deny recovery.
- (9) Attorney fees must not exceed contract rate with client and be supported by an affidavit as to reasonableness. Attorney fee cannot exceed 3% of principal owed. § 702.065(2), Fla. Stat. (2010). Beware add-ons for litigation fees make sure that they are not double-billing flat fee.
- (10) Bankruptcy fees not recoverable Correct forum is bankruptcy court. *Martinez v. Giacobbe*, 951 So. 2d 902, 904 (Fla. 3d DCA 2007); *Dvorak v. First Family Bank*, 639 So. 2d 1076, 1077 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994). Bankruptcy costs incurred to obtain stay relief recoverable. *Nemours*, 601 So. 2d at 575.

- (11) Sale date may not be set in less than 20 days or more than 35 days, unless parties agree. § 45.031(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (2010), *JRBL Dev., Inc. v. Maiello*, 872 So. 2d 362, 363 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).
- 5. If summary judgment denied, foreclosure action proceeds to trial on contested issues.
 - (a) Trial is before the court without a jury. § 702.01, Fla. Stat. (2010).
- 6. Motion for rehearing abuse of discretion to deny rehearing where multiple legal issues, including prepayment penalties and usury, remain unresolved by the trial court. *Bonilla v. Yale Mortgage Corporation,* 15 So. 3d 943, 945 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009).
- 7. After entry of final judgment and expiration of time to file a motion for rehearing or for a new trial, the trial court loses jurisdiction of the case. *Ross v. Damas*, 2010 WL 532812 (Fla. 3d DCA Feb. 17, 2010); 459 So. 2d 435 (Fla. 3d DCA 1984). Exception: when the trial court reserves in the final judgment the jurisdiction of post judgment matters, such as deficiency judgments. *Id.*

Right of Redemption

- 1. Mortgagor may exercise his right of redemption at any time prior to the issuance of the certificate of sale. § 45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2010).
- (a) Court approval is not needed to redeem. *Indian River Farms v. YBF Partners*, 777 So. 2d 1096, 1100 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001); *Saidi v. Wasko*, 687 So. 2d 10, 13 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996).
- (b) Court of equity may extend time to redeem. *Perez v. Kossow,* 602 So. 2d 1372 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992).
- To redeem, mortgagor must pay the entire mortgage debt, including costs of foreclosure and attorney fees. CSB Realty, Inc. v. Eurobuilding Corp., 625 So. 2d 1275, 1276 (Fla. 3d DCA 1993); §45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- 3. Right to redeem is incident to every mortgage and can be assigned by anyone claiming under him. *VOSR Indus., Inc. v. Martin Properties, Inc.,* 919 So. 2d 554, 556 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006). There is no statutory prohibition against the assignment, including the assignment of bid at sale.

- (a) Right of redemption extends to holders of subordinate interests. Junior mortgage has an absolute right to redeem from senior mortgage. *Marina Funding Group, Inc. v. Peninsula Prop. Holdings, Inc.,* 950 So. 2d 428, 429 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007); *Quinn Plumbing Co. v. New Miami Shores Corp.,* 129 So. 690, 694 (Fla. 1930).
- 4. Fed. right of redemption United States has 120 days following the foreclosure sale to redeem the property if its interest is based on an IRS tax lien. For any other interest, the Fed. government has one year to redeem the property. 11 U. S. C. § 541, 28 U. S. C. § 959.

Judicial Sale

Scheduling the judicial sale

- 1. The statutory proscribed time frame for scheduling a sale is "not less than 20 days or more than 35 days after the date" of the order or judgment. § 45.031(1) (a), Fla. Stat. (2010). The statute applies unless agreed otherwise.
- Cancellations, continuances and postponements are within the discretion of the trial court. Movant must have reasons. Judicial action based on benevolence or compassion constitutes an abuse of discretion. Republic Federal Bank v. Doyle, 2009 WL 3102130 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009), (Appellate court reversed trial court's continuance of sale based on compassion to homeowners claiming they needed additional time to sell the home). There should be no across the board policy. But see, Wells Fargo v. Lupica, 2010 WL 2218584 (Fla. 5th DCA 6/4/10) - denial of lender's unopposed motion to cancel and subsequent motion to vacate sale reversed. Counsel alleged a loan modification agreement had been reached. Court rejected asking for evidence of agreement. The Fifth District Court ruled, "there was no basis for the trial court to reject Wells Fargo's counsels representation, as an officer of the court, that an agreement had been reached." Id. Look at language in motions, "HAMP Review" and "loss mitigation" do not constitute an agreement. Include language in the order indicating the court's rationale, even if you have a form order. Ask counsel to make a personal representation as an "officer of the court." See also, Chemical Mortgage v. Dickson, 651 So. 2d 1275, 1276 (Fla. 4th DCA 1995). Error not to cancel sale and

reschedule where plaintiff did not receive bidding instructions on a federally-guaranteed mortgage. However, this case found "no extraordinary circumstances" preventing rescheduling. Suggestion: we live in extraordinary times.

Notice of sale

- 1. Notice of sale must be published once a week, for 2 consecutive weeks in a publication of general circulation. § 45.031(1), Fla. Stat. (2010). The second publication shall be at least five days before the sale. § 45.031(2), Fla. Stat. (2010).
- (a) Notice must include: property description; time and place of sale; case style; clerk's name and a statement that sale will be conducted in accordance with final judgment.
- (b) Defective notice can constitute grounds to set aside sale. *Richardson v. Chase Manhattan Bank,* 941 So. 2d 435, 438 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006); *Ingorvaia v. Horton,* 816 So. 2d 1256 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002).

Judicial sale procedure

- 1. Judicial sale is public, anyone can bid. *Heilman v. Suburban Coastal Corp.*, 506 So. 2d 1088 (Fla. 4th DCA 1987). Property is sold to the highest bidder.
- 2. Plaintiff is entitled to a credit bid in the amount due under final judgment, plus interest and costs through the date of sale. *Robinson v. Phillips,* 171 So. 2d 197, 198 (Fla. 3d DCA 1965).
- 3. Amount bid is conclusively presumed sufficient consideration. § 45.031(8), Fla. Stat. (2010).

Certificate of sale

- 1. Upon sale completion certificate of sale must be served on all parties not defaulted. The right of redemption for all parties is extinguished upon issuance of certificate of sale. §45.0315, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- 2. Documentary stamps must be paid on the sale. §201.02(9), Fla. Stat. (2010). The amount of tax is based on the highest and best bid at the foreclosure sale. *Id.*
- (a) Assignment of successful bid at foreclosure sale is a transfer of an interest in realty subject to the documentary stamp tax. Fla. Admin. Code Rule 12B-4.013(25). (Rule 12B-4.013(3) provides that the tax is also applicable to the certificate of title